Serial No.:10/629,533

REMARKS

Art Unit 1647

## **Remarks**

Reconsideration of the objections and rejections to the present Application is hereby requested.

The specification stands objected to because some of the listings of the numbers of the "Brief Description of the Drawings" on page 7 of the Specification do not correspond to the actual numbers identifying the particular figures. The listing of the figures in the Specification on page 7 has been amended to now correctly correspond to the numbering as shown in the drawings. Withdrawal of Examiner's objection is hereby requested. The drawings contain hand-written information which will be removed from those drawings when the Application has been allowed and formal drawings are to be submitted.

The claims stand objected to because of the term 'suppress' is used therein. Claims 1, 3 and 4 have been amended to remove the objected to term and "reduce" and "reducing' have been used instead. Withdrawal of such objection to the claims is earnestly solicited.

Claims 1-4 stand rejected to under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement, and that undue experimentation would be required to practice the invention.

Further, Claims 1-2 also stand rejected under 35 USC 112 as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time of the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Serial No.:10/629,533

**REMARKS** 

Art Unit 1647

Applicant wishes to point out that the Application had been basically prepared by and endorsed as proper by the inventor, a physician and surgeon. It is this doctor's belief that such description is fully enabling and provides one skilled in the art enough written and graphic information to permit one skilled in the art at the time the application was filed, to make and use the invention.

Applicant admits that the claims are in fact broad. However, such is believed acceptable because of the failure of the prior art to suggest or teach any of Applicant's invention.

It is therefore requested that the Examiner withdraw the objections and rejections to the present Application, and pass such Application on to Issuance.

Respectfully submitted,

Donald N. Halgren Applicant's rep. Reg. No. 27056

35 Central Street Manchester, MA 01944

ph: 978-526-8000